

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DAVID JONATHAN IRMEN,

Plaintiff,

No. C 15-00847 JSW

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Commissioner of  
Social Security,

Defendant.

**ORDER DIRECTING  
DEFENDANT TO INFORM  
COURT WHETHER THEY  
CONSENT TO MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES**

In cases initially assigned to a district judge, the parties may consent at any time to reassignment of the case to a magistrate judge for all purposes, including entry of final judgment. See Civil L.R. 73-1(b). The Court has received notice that Plaintiff consent to reassignment. Accordingly, Defendant is hereby DIRECTED to advise the Court, no later than March 20, 2015, as to whether they consent to have a magistrate judge conduct all further proceedings in the instant action.<sup>1</sup> For the parties' convenience, consent forms are available at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov>, in the "Forms" section. The parties are further advised that they may jointly request assignment to a specific magistrate judge.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: February 26, 2015

  
JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

<sup>1</sup> Normally, the Court would direct the parties to so inform the Court in their joint case management statement filed in connection with a case management conference. Because the instant action involves a review of an administrative record, however, a case management conference has not been scheduled.

